SUMMARY

The objective of the study was to estimate the possible association of maternal diseases with the risk of isolated ear congenital abnormalities (IECA) including mainly microtia and anotia in their children. Incidence of acute and prevalence of chronic maternal diseases in the mothers with IECA and in the mothers of their matched controls and all controls without any defects, in addition in the mothers of malformed controls with other isolated defects was compared in the population-based large dataset of the Hungarian Case-Control Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities. Of 354 cases with IECA, 32 had mothers with high fever related influenza-common cold during the critical period of IECA and it resulted in a higher risk of IECA in their live-born infants (adjusted OR with 95% CI: 4.3, 1.9–7.4) compared with their matched controls. However, the early and effective antifever treatment in these pregnant women prevented them from the IECA. In addition there was an association of maternal otitis media with higher risk of complex defects of middle and external ears (OR with 95% CI: 5.2, 1.6–28.3), however, this association was based on 4 cases only. In conclusion high fever related influenza-common cold with secondary complications may play a role in the origin of IECA, but is preventable with the early and effective antifever treatment of these pregnant women.
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INTRODUCTION

The structural birth defects, i.e. congenital abnormalities (CAs) of external ears are visible, therefore easily diagnosed in the newborn infants by routine inspection. Among them, microtia is more, while anotia is less frequent. Microtia and anotia comprise a spectrum of the same developmental field defect (1, 2). However, microtia/anotia may associate with aural atresia/stenosis and middle ear defects (3), thus middle ear defect and microtia/anotia together represent the complex CA of ear. These ear CAs are evaluated in this study.

However, at the evaluation of patients with ear CA, their isolated and multiple (the so-called syndromic) manifestation should be differentiated because of their different clinical importance and etiology. The etiology of isolated ear CAs (IECA), including microtia/anotia, is less known (4–6), thus the aim of our population-based case-control study is to estimate the possible association of maternal diseases with the risk of IECA in the large data set of the Hungarian Case-Control Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities (HCCSCA) (7).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The objective of the HCCSCA is to compare the exposures, e.g. maternal diseases, in pregnant women of cases and controls.

Cases and Controls

Cases with CA were selected from the Hungarian Congenital Abnormality Registry (HCAR) (8) for the HCCSCA. Reporting of cases with CA is mandatory for physicians from the birth until the first birthday to the HCAR and most CAs are reported by obstetricians (in Hungary practically all deliveries take place in inpatient obstetric clinics and birth attendants are obstetricians) or paediatricians (working at neonatal units of inpatient obstetric clinics as well as of various general and special surgical, oto-rhino-laryngologic, etc. inpatient and outpatient paediatric clinics). Autopsy was obligatory for all infant deaths and was usually (about 80%) performed in stillborn fetuses during the study period. In case of defects identification in stillborn fetuses or infant deaths, pathologists sent a copy of the autopsy report to the HCAR. Since 1984, fetal defects have been diagnosed by prenatal diagnostic centres with or without elective termination of pregnancy have also been notified to the HCAR.

CAs were differentiated into two main categories: isolated (only one organ is affected) and multiple (concurrence of two or more CAs in the same person affecting at least two different organ systems) CAs (9). Minor anomalies or morphological variants without serious medical or cosmetic consequences are recorded in the HCAR but these cases are excluded from the estimation of different CA rates.

The total (birth + fetal) prevalence of cases with CA diagnosed from the second trimester of pregnancy through the age of one was 35 per 1,000 informative offsprings (live-born infants,
stillborn fetuses and electively terminated malformed fetuses) in the HCAR, 1980–1996 (8), and about 90% of major CAs were recorded in the HCAR during the 17 years of the study period (10).

The diagnosis of IECA was checked in the HCAR and modified if necessary by two steps: 1) if cases with unspecified ear CAs were reported to the HCAR, an extra effort was made by the assistant of the HCAR to contact the medical doctors who notified these cases in order to specify the diagnosis, 2) for the request of parents the co-workers of the HCAR organized the so-called parental meetings for the families of cases with ear CAs in the institute of the HCAR in 1988 and 1996. These meetings had three aims: (i) providing information for parents regarding the ear CAs and replies to their questions, (ii) exchanging experience among parents and (iii) the examination of these cases by experts. Thus, we were able to examine personally about one-third of cases with IACA.

However, cases with IECA in the study were evaluated in the dataset of the HCCSCA (7).

Cases with CA were selected from the HCAR while controls were defined as newborn infants without CA and they were identified in the National Birth Registry of the Central Statistical Office on the basis of list of cases in the HCCSCA. In general, two controls were matched with every case according to sex, birth week in the year when cases were born and district of parents’ residence. The name and address of controls’ mothers were also given to the co-workers of the HCCSCA. These controls were used in two different approaches: (1) matched controls of cases with IECA studied, (II) all controls because their large number helps us to improve the generalisability of the results.

In addition, there was a third control group including (III) malformed controls selected from the HCAR, they were live-born cases with isolated CA but without cases with IECA.

**Collection of Exposure and Confounder Data**

1. Medically Recorded Prospective Data

   Mothers of cases and controls were asked in a mailed explanatory letter to send us the prenatal maternity logbook and discharge summaries of their deliveries and, in addition, recent medical documents regarding their child’s CA. Prenatal care was mandatory for pregnant women in Hungary (if somebody did not visit prenatal care, she did not get maternity grant and leave), thus nearly 100% of pregnant women visited prenatal care, on average seven times. The first visit was between 6th and 12th gestational weeks. The task of obstetricians was to record all pregnancy complications, maternal diseases and related drug prescriptions in the prenatal maternity logbook.

2. Retrospective Self-reported Maternal Information

   A structured questionnaire together with a list of diseases and drugs, and a printed informed consent were also mailed to the mothers immediately after the selection of cases and controls. In order to standardise the answers, mothers were asked to read the enclosed list of diseases and medications, as a memory, aid before replying. Mothers were asked to give a signature for informed consent which authorized us to record the name and address of their children in the HCCSCA.

   The period between birth or elective termination of pregnancy and return of “information package” (questionnaire, logbook, informed consent, etc.) in our prepaid envelope was 3.5±1.2 and 5.2±2.9 months for cases and controls, respectively.

3. Supplementary Data Collection

   Regional nurses were asked to visit all non-respondent mothers of cases (including malformed controls) at home, to help mothers to fill-in the same questionnaire, to evaluate available medical documents, in addition to obtain data regarding lifestyle (smoking, drinking, and illicit drug use) and some important symptoms (e.g. high fever) of diseases during pregnancy through a personal mothers’ interview and their close relatives living together. The data of lifestyle were collected only in these subsamples due to the unreliability of retrospective maternal information (11). Co-workers of the HCCSCA visited only 200 non-respondent and 600 respondent control mothers in two validation studies (12, 13), as the ethic committee considered that this follow-up would be disturbing to the parents of healthy children. The same method was used in these control mothers as in non-respondent case mothers.

   The diagnosis of IECA was checked in the HCCSCA and modified if necessary by two steps: (i) the recent medical examinations of cases after postnatal months helped us to achieve more accurate diagnoses and (ii) an expert examination was suggested by the principal investigator of the study in about one-third of cases with complex ear CA or with severe IECA diagnosis in our institute or visited at home. At the classification of IECA (14–16), 4 types were differentiated:

   - Mild microtia: the structure of smaller external ear is moderately anomalous. The auricle can be hook-, S- or question mark shaped in appearance with a more or less irregular mass of cartilage, but the external auditory meatus is usually present, but frequently had stenosis.
   - Severe microtia: external ear is rudimentary and auricle does not include cartilage, only soft tissue and there is no external auditory meatus.
   - Anotia: all external ear structures are absent, thus there is no external auditory meatus/canal. Skin of the cheek passes smoothly over the aural area without definite elevation or depression.
   - Complex ear CA: anotia/microtia associates with middle ear CAs and anotia/microtia, or anotia occurs in one side while microtia in other side.

   Among exposures, maternal diseases were evaluated in the study: chronic diseases were analysed on the basis of prenatal maternity logbooks and/or other medical records, while the source of acute maternal diseases was both medical records and the questionnaire.

   The timing of exposures: the gestational age was calculated from the first day of the last menstrual period. The auricle is formed from the first and second branchial arches by a series of auricular hillocks that surround the first pharyngeal groove during the sixth postconceptional week (17), therefore the critical period of microtia/anotia is in the eighth gestational week. Thus, the second gestational month is the most sensitive time window of potential exposures, but because of the inaccuracy of this time calculation (both in the gestational age and exposure time) the third gestational month was also considered.

   Among potential confounding factors, maternal age, birth order, marital and employment status as indicator of socioeconomic status because it correlated well with the level of education and...
income (18), related drug treatments, and the use of pregnancy supplements, mainly folic acid (19) were considered.

Finally necessary data were collected for 96.3% of cases (84.4% from reply, 11.9% from visit) and for 83.0% of population controls (81.3% from reply, 1.7% from visit). Informed consent was signed and returned by 98.4% of mothers.

The procedure of data collection was changed in 1997 because regional nurses visited and questioned all cases and controls, and the recent data had not been validated at the time of this analysis, thus only the data set of 17 years between 1980 and 1996 has been evaluated.

Statistical Analysis

The software package SAS version 8.02 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Caroline, USA) was used. First, frequency tables were made for the main maternal variables in order to describe the study groups. Second, the characteristics of pregnant women with IECA and controls were compared using Student t-test for quantitative and chi-square statistics or odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for categorical variables. Third, the incidence of acute disease groups and the prevalence of chronic diseases during the study pregnancy of mothers of cases with IECA and mothers of matched controls was compared using conditional logistic regression model, while at the comparison of case mothers and the mothers of all controls or malformed controls ordinary logistic regression model was used. At the calculation of adjusted OR with 95% CI maternal age and employment status, in ordinary logistic regression model was used. At the calculation of conditional logistic regression model, while at the comparison of case mothers and the mothers of all controls or malformed controls

RESULTS

All IECA were diagnosed in live-born infants, these cases with different IECA types are shown in Table 1. The group of “others” included 20 cases, 18 were personally examined. Seven cases had anotia/microtia with middle ear CAs, 12 cases were affected with anotia in one side and microtia in other side, and one case was affected with polyotia and microtia.

There was a slight male excess among cases with IECA with an obvious predominance of unilateral manifestation of anotia/microtia, though the cases of “others” were exceptional.

The maternal demographic data in the groups of cases with IECA and different controls are shown in Table 2. The number of all controls was 38,151 and represented 1.8% of all Hungarian newborns. The mean maternal age in the case group of IECA did not differ significantly from the figures of control mothers. The mean birth order was higher in the mothers of cases with IECA compared to matched controls and all controls; however, there was no difference in the mean birth order between cases with IECA and malformed controls.

Table 3 summarizes the data reflecting the socio-economic status of mothers in the different study groups. There was no significant difference in the marital status of mothers in the study groups. The distribution of employment status was different between case mothers and matched or all control mothers, but not between case and malformed control mothers. These differences reflect the lower proportion of the two groups of high socio-economic status, i.e. professional and managerial employment in the mothers of cases with IECA and malformed controls. The lowest proportion of professional women was found in the group of cases with IECA.

Proportion of smokers and drinkers did not show any significant difference among the study groups.

The incidence of acute maternal disease groups during the study, pregnancy in the mothers of cases with IECA was compared to the figures of different control mothers (Table 4). The incidence of influenza-common cold with secondary complications (later only influenza-common cold is mentioned) was significantly higher in the mothers of cases with IECA than in the mothers of their matched controls. The incidence of respiratory system’s diseases was significantly higher in all control and malformed control mothers. The incidence of urinary tract’s diseases in case mothers was only higher than in all control mothers. In the group of other acute diseases, otitis media occurred more frequently in the group of case mothers than in control mothers.

The critical period of IECA is in the second and/or third gestational months thus the incidence of influenza-common cold, respiratory system and urinary tract’s diseases were evaluated separately only during this time window (Table 4). Only high fever related influenza-common cold associated with the higher risk of IECA.

Of 22,843 cases, 5,155 (22.6%), while of 38,151 controls, 7,313 (19.2%) had mothers with influenza-common cold, and these diseases were medically recorded in 2,708 (52.5%) case mothers and in 3,760 (51.4%) control mothers. Of 354 cases with IECA, 75 (21.2%) had mother with influenza-common cold, and 40 (53.3%) were medically recorded. However, we were interested only in exposures in the second and/or third gestational month, of 32 pregnant women with influenza-common cold during this

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study groups</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Sex ratio (males)</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtia, mild</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtia, severe</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anotia</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Distribution of cases with different groups/types of IECA, their sex ratio and side manifestations
time window, 21 (65.6%) had medically recorded disease. If only medically recorded influenza-common cold in the second and/or third gestational months of pregnant women was evaluated, a higher OR with 95% CI of IECA was found at the comparison of mothers of cases with matched controls (4.9, 1.4–9.3), all controls (2.9, 1.3–4.8) and malformed controls (2.0, 1.1–4.2).

In the next step antifever drugs (acetylsalicylic acid, paracetamol) and antibiotics (ampicillin, penamecillin, parenteral benzylpenicillin), used for the treatment of influenza-common cold, were evaluated. These drugs had no association with the higher risk of IECA after their use during the entire pregnancy or in the second and/or third gestational months. However, of 32 pregnant women with influenza-common cold during the critical period of IECA, only 8 (25.0%) were treated with antifever drugs while of their 13 matched controls, 11 (84.6%), thus this treatment may prevent high fever related IECA.

The data of cases with IECA born to mothers with otitis media are summarised in Table 5. The mothers of these 4 cases had prospectively and medically recorded acute otitis media in the prenatal maternity logbook. Of these 4 cases, all belonged to the CA-group of “Others” and 3 were examined personally. In addition, maternal otitis media occurred in the second and/or third gestational months of gestation, i.e. the critical period of IECA in 3 cases. The mothers of these cases were late or not appropriately treated.

The prevalence of chronic maternal diseases is shown in different study groups in Table 6. There was no higher risk of IECA in any disease.

**DISCUSSION**

The major findings of the study showed an association of frequent high fever related maternal influenza-common cold with secondary consequences and rare otitis media with the higher risk of IECA.

**Table 2. Demographic data of mothers: maternal age and birth order groups with mean maternal age and birth order in the study groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic factors</th>
<th>Cases with IECA (N=354)</th>
<th>Matched controls (N=511)</th>
<th>All controls (N=38,151)</th>
<th>Malformed controls (N=21,140)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 or less</td>
<td>37 (10.4)</td>
<td>50 (9.8)</td>
<td>3,277 (8.6)</td>
<td>2,311 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>258 (72.9)</td>
<td>386 (75.5)</td>
<td>27,602 (72.3)</td>
<td>14,445 (68.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 or more</td>
<td>59 (16.7)</td>
<td>75 (14.7)</td>
<td>7,272 (19.1)</td>
<td>4,384 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±S.D.</td>
<td>25.2±5.2</td>
<td>24.9±4.6</td>
<td>25.5±4.9</td>
<td>25.5±5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>152 (42.9)</td>
<td>266 (52.1)</td>
<td>18,209 (47.7)</td>
<td>9,910 (46.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or more</td>
<td>202 (57.1)</td>
<td>245 (47.9)</td>
<td>19,942 (52.3)</td>
<td>11,230 (53.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±S.D.</td>
<td>1.9±1.0</td>
<td>1.7±0.9</td>
<td>1.7±0.9</td>
<td>1.9±1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Socio-economic status of mothers: marital and employment status in the study groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-economic status</th>
<th>Cases with IECA (N=354)</th>
<th>Matched controls (N=511)</th>
<th>All controls (N=38,151)</th>
<th>Malformed controls (N=21,140)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>20 (5.6)</td>
<td>11 (2.2)</td>
<td>1,471 (3.9)</td>
<td>1,179 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>20 (5.6)</td>
<td>56 (11.0)</td>
<td>4,353 (11.4)</td>
<td>1,782 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial</td>
<td>76 (21.5)</td>
<td>136 (26.6)</td>
<td>10,134 (26.6)</td>
<td>4,593 (21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled worker</td>
<td>100 (28.2)</td>
<td>149 (29.2)</td>
<td>11,690 (30.6)</td>
<td>5,880 (27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiskilled worker</td>
<td>65 (18.4)</td>
<td>80 (15.7)</td>
<td>5,783 (15.2)</td>
<td>3,564 (16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled worker</td>
<td>22 (6.2)</td>
<td>21 (4.1)</td>
<td>1,859 (4.9)</td>
<td>1,394 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>45 (12.7)</td>
<td>28 (5.5)</td>
<td>2,038 (5.3)</td>
<td>1,944 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>26 (7.3)</td>
<td>41 (8.0)</td>
<td>2,294 (6.0)</td>
<td>1,983 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ²(2)=24.9, p<0.0001; χ²(6)=54.2, p<0.0001; χ²(6)=10.0, p<0.0001
The secondary findings of the study indicates a higher mean birth order and lower socio-economic status of mothers of cases with IECA compared to the mothers of controls, though these associations do not seem to be characteristic only IECA because similar data were found in malformed controls. Chronic diseases and drug treatments did not show association with the higher risk of IECA.

High fever related maternal influenza (20), common cold with secondary complications (21) and acute tonsillitis (22) associated with a higher risk of some CAs due to the teratogenic effect of high fever defined >38.5°C (23) in previous studies. Cases with IECA were not among these CA-groups, because CAs of ears were evaluated together. However, the previously delineated spectrum of hyperthermia-induced CAs (24) or CA-syndrome (25, 26) included CAs of external ears as well, and this study confirmed that IECA including microtia/anotia is part of hyperthermia-induced CA-spectrum/syndrome.

In general high fever related maternal disorders are treated by drugs, thus related drugs treatments can be considered at the explanation of higher risk of IECA. However, the teratogenic potential of antifever drugs such as acetylsalicylic acid (27, 28), paracetamol (29) and antimicrobial ampicillin (30), penamecillin (31), parenteral benzylpenicillin (32) was evaluated and these drugs did not associate with the higher risk of IECA in the previous studies.
studies and in this dataset. Thus, the possible association of high fever related influenza-common cold with higher risk of IECA cannot be explained by the related drug treatment.

The major message of our study is that the frequent high fever related influenza-common cold may associate with a higher risk of IECA, but as our previous studies showed, the high fever related risk of CAs can be prevented by antifever drugs (23). Thus, it is reasonable to suppose that high fever related IECA also preventable by appropriate antifever therapy, and paracetamol/acetaminophen group may be the first choice in antifever treatment.

Table 6. Prevalence of chronic diseases in the mothers of cases with IECA, matched controls, all controls and malformed controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronic diseases</th>
<th>Cases with IECA (N=354)</th>
<th>Matched controls (N=511)</th>
<th>All controls (N=38,151)</th>
<th>Malformed controls (N=21,140)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential hypertension</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, primary</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlebitis/thrombophlebitis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicose veins in lower extremities</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhoids</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR adjusted for maternal age and employment status, birth order and related drug treatments.
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