INFLUENCE OF TOBACCO USE IN DENTAL CARIES DEVELOPMENT
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SUMMARY
This review article describes different forms of tobacco usage and its direct relationship with the prevalence of dental caries. Smoking along with co-existing factors like old age, bad oral hygiene habits, food habits, limited preventive dental visits and overall health standards, can be associated with high caries incidence. However, a direct etiological relationship is lacking. Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) causes dental caries in children but no studies have been reported in adults. Existing findings are not sufficient and conclusive enough to confirm that ETS causes dental caries. Oral use of smokeless tobacco (ST), predominantly tobacco chewing, is presumably a positive contributing factor to higher incidence of dental caries. Unfortunately, published studies are not converging towards one single factor through which tobacco usage can have direct relationship to dental caries.
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INTRODUCTION
Cigarette smoking and other tobacco use imposes a huge and growing burden for public health globally. Approximately 5 million people are killed annually by tobacco use. By the year 2030, according to current trends, it is assumed that this number will increase to 10 million with 70% of deaths occurring in low and middle income countries. Numerous studies from high-income countries, and a growing number from low and middle income countries, provide strong evidence that tobacco taxes increase, dissemination of information about health risks from smoking, restrictions on smoking in public places and in work-places, comprehensive bans on advertising and promotion, and increased access to cessation therapies are all effective in reducing tobacco use and its consequences. Despite this evidence, tobacco control policies have been unevenly applied, partially due to political constraints (1). The countries of central Europe bear a disproportionate burden of tobacco-related morbidity and mortality. For example, some of the highest rates of world wide cigarette consumption and smoking prevalence are in Czech Republic, Hungary and Romania (2).

Tobacco, in general, is used as tobacco smoking and smokeless tobacco. Tobacco smoking usually is used in the form of cigarettes, cigars and pipe tobacco (3). Cigarettes form the core of mass production of tobacco product that is smoked globally (4). Over 1.1 billion adults (29% of the adult population) are current smokers of cigarettes worldwide (5). Smokeless tobacco (ST) is normally consumed orally, not smoked. It exists in two major forms: snuff and chewing tobacco. Snuff may be moist or dry. Moist snuff is usually taken as oral snuff. Dry snuff is usually inhaled through the nose and less commonly used. Chewing tobacco is coarser than snuff and exists in three forms: loose-leaf (sold in a soft package or pouch), plug (sold in small block) and twist (dried tobacco leaves that are twisted into strands). Chewing tobacco is usually placed in the oral buccal vestibule, and it is called as “chaw” or “quid” (6). Smokeless tobacco is very commonly used in Scandinavian countries compared to other parts of Europe. Oral use of snuff is very common in Sweden (locally known as “suns”). Smokeless tobacco products are also consumed in other countries such as India and United States (7).

It has been reported that 700 million children were exposed to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) or passive smoking. Children are frequently exposed to ETS and it can induce serious diseases like pneumonia, otitis media, asthma, colic, reduction in pulmonary function and high rate of malignancies in children (4). It is very well known that smoking contributes to the development of lung cancer and cardiovascular disease in adults. There is strong evidence that tobacco use has numerous negative effects on oral health, for example, staining of teeth and dental restorations, reduction of the ability to smell and taste, development of oral diseases such as smokers palate, smokers melanosis, coated tongue, and, possibly, oral pre-cancer, oral cancer, oral candidosis, periodontal disease, implant failure and dental caries (8).

The principal objective of this review is to discuss one of the lesser explored area; the influence of tobacco smoking, environmental tobacco smoke or passive smoking and smokeless tobacco on dental caries development.
SMOKING AND DENTAL CARIES

Smoking and its relation to dental caries is a subject of many opinions. From early reports in literature and a common belief was that smoking actually helps to reduce dental caries (9, 10, 11). Schmidt, in 1951, supported this belief when he reported that increase in tobacco smoking was followed by a decrease in caries rate (12). The concentration of thiocyanate, a constituent of tobacco smoke and normal saliva with possible caries-inhibiting effect, was found to be higher in smoker’s saliva (13). So, one might predict less dental caries in smokers. On the other hand, the decreased buffering effect and possible lower pH of smoker’s saliva and the higher number of Lactobacilli and Streptococcus mutans may indicate an increased susceptibility to caries (13, 14). In addition, results also showed no significant differences in salivary flow rates between smokers and non-smokers (8). To date, quite a few investigators have discovered a correlation between elevated smoking level and dental caries (15, 16, 17, 18). For example, in 1952, Ludwig and Massler reported that those who smoked more than 15 cigarettes a day had significantly higher number of decayed, missing, and filled teeth (19). In 1971, Ainamo found that increased smoking resulted in significantly higher number of decayed surfaces per dentition and also noted a trend toward more missing surfaces and fewer restored surfaces in subjects with a high consumption of cigarettes (20). In 1990, Zitterbart confirmed association between smoking and the prevalence of dental caries in adult males. Smokers had significantly higher DMFT (Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth) score, untreated decayed surfaces, and missing surfaces. He further correlated that more cigarettes consumed per day resulted in more missing tooth surfaces in a smoker’s mouth (11). A Swedish study carried out in 1991 shows that smoking, as a habit and an increased number of cigarettes smoked per day, are positively correlated with increased in number of decayed, missing and filled teeth (21). Even though a recent study done on American female population in 2006 did not establish a causative relationship, cigarette smoking was shown to be associated with the prevalence of caries (22).

Studies in this regard have considered multiple variable factors which can contribute directly or indirectly to the increase in the incidence of dental caries in smokers such as age, tobacco habits other than smoking, oral hygiene habits, eating habits, drinking habits, preventive visits to dentist (dental recalls) and overall health standards. Due to these factors, it is difficult to conclude the association between a single positive factor which can cause increase in caries incidence in smokers, therefore, it is not easy to establish the strength of relationship between smoking and dental caries.

Association between smoking and dental caries is well documented in older age groups (23, 24). Among middle-age (15) or young adults (25) results are inconsistent. Non-smokers reported more frequent healthy oral health behavior than did daily smokers (26). Studies indicate that smokers not only had bad oral hygiene and less primitive outlook on health, but also had different eating habits, presumable consuming high amount of sugar containing products like soft drinks and snacks (15, 21). Daily smoking was associated with increased use of sugar in tea or coffee, and with more frequent alcohol consumption (26). It is also seen that smokers have ineffective brushing habits than non-smokers (20, 27, 28). The distribution of brushing strokes around the mouth was more uniform in the non-smokers than in the smokers, which may indicate a tendency towards less favorable tooth brushing performance in smokers (29). And current smokers were less likely to report regular preventive visits to dentists and were reluctant to use accessory dental aids such as dental floss. Current smokers also had higher scores on the dental attitudes scale, indicating that a lower value is placed on retaining natural teeth (23).

In natural tobacco, sugar can be present in a level up to 20%. In addition, various sugars and sweeteners are added intentionally during tobacco manufacturing process up to 4% or can be up to 13% of sugars. Sugars used as cigarette additive include glucose, fructose, invert sugar (glucose/fructose mixture) and sucrose. In addition, many tobacco additives contain high amount of sugars. For example, fruit juices, honey, molasses extracts, cones and maple syrup and caramel. The added sugars are usually reported to serve as flavour/casing and humectants. However, sugars also promote tobacco smoking, because they generate acids that neutralize the harsh taste and throat impact of tobacco smoke. Moreover, the sweet taste and the pleasant smell of caramelized sugar flavours are appreciated in particular by starting adolescent smokers (30).

All the above findings can contribute to an increased prevalence of dental caries among smokers. However, a direct etiological relation between smoking and dental caries is still missing. The above-mentioned studies and findings point to the assumption that smoking has some influence on high caries incidence. Further studies, clinical trials and experiments are therefore needed to elucidate the independent effect of smoking as one of the causes of dental caries.

ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE AND DENTAL CARIES

It is interesting to know that the data from UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (1995) suggested maternal smoking as a significant risk factor for predicting caries in preschool children, even when adjusted for social class, nutritional status, and weekly expenditure on confectioneries (31). Work of Aligne and colleagues (2003) based on secondary analysis of the data from Third National Health and Nutrition Survey (1988–1994) has provided strongest evidence yet of an increased risk of dental caries in the deciduous dentition of children who are 4 to 11 years of age and have been exposed to passive smoking or environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) (32). A recent study done in 2004 also confirmed that children residing in regularly smoking homes had significantly higher prevalence of caries compared to nonregular/nonsmoking homes (33).

However, the reason of high predilection of caries in association with ETS, only in children is poorly understood. However, some published data and some hypothesized facts as well as experimental data might support the biological plausibility of causal role of ETS in caries formation in children. It was published that ETS, a common cause of pediatric morbidity and mortality, disproportionately affects children in low socioeconomic status environments. Furthermore, it may be a risk factor for cavities (32, 34). Research indicates that the bacteria responsible for caries formation are acquired in infancy from the saliva of mothers (via kissing, etc). Elsewhere, nicotine has been shown to promote
the growth of cariogenic Streptococcus mutans bacteria in vitro
thus, mother who smoke may be more likely than non-smokers to
transmit these germs to children (32, 35). ETS smoke has immu
nosuppressive properties and is a known risk factor for infections
of the cranial organs (e.g. otitis media); thus it is not surprising
that it might be risk factor for caries development as well (32, 36,
37). In addition ETS is associated with decreased serum vitamin C
levels in children and decreased levels of vitamin C are associated
with growth of cariogenic bacteria (32, 38, 39).

It is also possible that ETS may reduce the protective properties
of saliva that can operate against caries. Saliva acts as buffering
agent when acids are produced. It physically removes debris from
the tooth surface, and it has immunological and bacteriostatic
properties (32, 40). ETS is known to increase inflammation of
respiratory tract, producing symptoms of various clinical condi
tion including allergic rhinitis, which frequently cause mouth
breathing and thus result in dry mouth (i.e. an effective decrease
in saliva) (32, 41). Thus, ETS could promote dental caries both
through a direct effect of nicotine on caries-causing bacterial
agents, as well as via other systemic physiological changes in
host. Other reason given to that ETS cause caries in children is
that colonization with the cariogenic Streptococcus mutans is
thought to occur during a window of vulnerability around 1 year
of age, and primary teeth are particularly susceptible to caries
formation soon after their eruption (32, 42). Maternal smoking is
also a principal risk factor for pre-maturity, low birth weight,
and chronic illness in infancy, while these in turn are all associ
ated with generalized enamel hypoplasia in primary dentition
(32, 42, 43, 44). Furthermore, in early childhood, when immune
system is generally less mature, the saliva is known to be dif
ferent from that of adult with respect to IgA concentrations.
In addition, salivary flow rate in children is lower (32, 45). Young
children may thus be particularly vulnerable to harmful effect of
ETS on immune system and saliva flow. Hence, it is biologically
plausible that passive smoking could cause caries, particularly in
early childhood.

Unfortunately, a recent study in 2006 failed to demonstrate
a positive association between passive smoking and caries experi
ence in Japanese children (46). And is also some what puzzling
that no effect on permanent teeth was observed, as it would be
expected that any effect of ETS on the developing dentition
would affect both deciduous and permanent teeth in the same
way. Similarly, if the main effect of ETS is more related to post-
ruptive force, then a similar pattern of caries susceptibility in the
permanent dentition should be observed. One possible explana
tion suggests that ETS exposure is more likely to cause dental caries
in deciduous teeth rather than permanent teeth is because enamel
of deciduous teeth is much thinner compared to permanent teeth,
and that enamel defect are associated with caries (32, 47).

Authors found all these findings clearly provocative and pro
pose further aggressive studies that could elucidate the causative
role of ETS in the dental caries of children and adolescents be

SMOKELESS TOBACCO AND DENTAL CARIES

The literature associating smokeless tobacco (ST) use with
either increasing or decreasing dental caries incidence is even
harder to find than the literature associating tobacco smoking
with dental caries. Theories have been postulated based on limited
clinical findings, chemical analysis of the content of various ST
products, and in vitro effects of ST on the growth of bacteria
implicated in caries development.

Evidence linking ST use with increased dental caries preva
lence has been reported (48, 49). In a case report published by
Croft, a 54-year-old patient presented “cervical caries” in the
area of tobacco placement and he also had gingivitis and recession in
that same tooth (49, 50). In contrast, Zitterbart and his colleague
did not find any evidence of caries in the area of quid placement
in their 36-year-old tobacco chewer (49, 51). Another study, which
was performed among Swedish children, did not report any preva
lence of dental caries among snuff users (52). On the other hand,
higher prevalence of caries was observed in snuff dippers than
in non-tobacco users among teenagers in Gothenburg. Control
ling for cigarette smoking, a dose-response relationship was also
shown between caries and the number of years of snuff use (21,
53). A further study was done among baseball players in Phoenix
and there were not any differences in dental caries between ST
users and non-users even though majority of ST users were snuff
dippers rather than chewing tobacco users (53, 54).

Studies assessed the total amount of sugar and fluoride per
centage in commercially available form of tobaccos. They found
that the highest amount of caries promoting factor like sugar and
caries inhabiting chemical like fluoride was present in non smok
ing forms of tobacco like pouch and plug than in smoking form
(55). The types of sweeteners and sugars commonly found in ST
are fructose, glucose, sucrose, maltose, and isomaltose (56). This
addition is presumed to be having a neutralizing effect on the bitter
taste of tobacco (30). Large variations in sugar and fluoride levels
in tobacco products can exist within form-to-form, store-to-store,
brand-to-brand, and state-to-state. This may explain the diverse
opinions of dental practitioners and investigators with respect
to the concept of tobacco increasing or decreasing incidence of
dental caries. Generally, non-smoking form of tobacco are mostly
related to promotion of dental caries (55) and present a significant
risk factor for developing dental caries (57).

Individuals who chew tobacco appear to have more dental car
ies than non-users (3). Review of studies conducted from 1988–90
on oral consequences of snuff and chewing tobacco use among
professional baseball players in US found that ST use showed
a significantly higher prevalence of root caries than did compara
ble sites in non-smokers (58). Data from the multipurpose health
survey (Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey)
conducted in USA from 1988 to 1994 was used to examine the
relationship between chewing tobacco and other forms of tobacco
use and decayed or filled coronal or root surface caries. Chew
ing tobacco users had a slightly higher mean number of decayed
and filled coronal than individuals using other forms of tobacco.
In addition, the mean number of decayed and filled root surface caries
in children who chewed tobacco was four times higher than for those who did not use tobacco. It is important to
note that the decayed or filled surfaces tended to match the side
of mouth on which the ST was used, although this did not reach
statistical significance. The results showed that the mean number
of decayed and filled root surface rose with increasing number
of chewing tobacco packages used per week and duration of its
use in years (59). A biologically reasonable explanation for an
association between chewing tobacco use and dental caries is that the high levels of fermentable sugar in ST products can stimulate growth of cariogenic bacteria (55, 59).

Users of chewing tobacco have been warned against swallowing it as glucose in chewing tobacco could adversely affect blood glucose level of diabetics (49). The way the chewing tobacco is used creates an environment conducive to dental caries; a wad of tobacco is kept in the oral cavity for 30 minutes on average, and the chewing tobacco is used over an extended period each day (61, 59). This assumption is supported by in vitro evidence of stimulated growth of Streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus sanguis in the presence of smokeless tobacco extracts (35, 59). It has been also found that extracts from chewing tobacco with high sugar content increased in vitro growth of Lactobacillus casei (59, 61), a bacterium implicated in root caries (59, 62). One in vivo study found that micro-flora associated with root caries comprised a significantly larger proportion of colony-forming units on the root surface of teeth adjacent to the tobacco placement than on the teeth on the contralateral side of the mouth. The study also found significantly high level of collagenase on the side of the mouth where tobacco was placed. It was speculated that increased collagenase activity may interact with specific bacteria to enhance the development of root caries due to the organic nature of cementum (59, 63). Another experimental study also found that, aqueous tobacco extracts were used to supplement a basic salts solution (BSS) and a microbial medium. Thin-layer chromatography revealed sucrose in only one of four extracts. Discs saturated with extracts (0.1–50 mg/ml) failed to inhibit growth of any of the microorganisms. Supplementation (10 mg/ml) of BSS with the tobaccos lacking sucrose resulted in augmented growth of Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus salivarius and Streptococcus sanguis, whereas the sucrose-containing brand augmented only Streptococcus sanguis growth. Thus extracts of these smokeless tobaccos would serve as a growth substrate for three species of oral streptococci, which are frequently associated with human dental caries (64). Another possible contributing mechanism in the development of root-surface caries among snuff/chewing tobacco also results in loss of keratinized gingival and tooth abrasion, yielding a periodontal problem at the site where tobacco is held. A significant amount of root surface will be exposed, reflecting the degree of gingival recession and bone loss. The exposed root surface caused by the loss of cementum and some dentin are at increased risk to develop caries (65). ST users are also associated with poor oral hygiene and less sophisticated outlook on health care (66).

Limited number of epidemiological and experimental results suggests an association between smokeless tobacco usage and dental caries. It seems that smokeless tobacco plays an important role in increased caries activity.

**CONCLUSION**

We have discussed in detail different forms of tobacco usage and its direct relationship with the prevalence of dental caries. Among them, oral use of smokeless tobacco shows positive contributing factor for a higher incidence of dental caries predominantly tobacco chewing. One of the main reasons for the association between smokeless tobacco and dental caries is the presence of high amount of various sugars and sweeteners added during the commercial manufacturing of smokeless tobacco products. Unfortunately, published studies are not converging towards one single factor through which tobacco usage can have direct relationship to dental caries. Major masses of published reports are contradicting each other in proving the same. Even though it has been reported that elevated smoking level can be one of the causative factors for dental caries, a direct etiological relation is lacking. It seems at least that smoking is a risk indicator of increased caries activity. But on the other hand it has been proved that smoking associated with old age, bad oral hygiene habits, food habits, limited preventive dental visits and over all health standards, can be associated with high caries incidence. It has been reported that ETS cause dental caries in children but no studies have been reported in adults. Unfortunately, very few studies have been done in this regard. So, findings are not sufficient enough and conclusive that ETS causes dental caries. Hence further studies are required on this subject.

**Table 1. Summary of major biological effects of tobacco related to dental caries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forms of tobacco use</th>
<th>Biological effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoking</td>
<td>• Concentration of thiocyanate found to be higher in smoker’s saliva may have possible caries inhibiting effect (13). • Decreased buffering effect and possible lower pH of saliva in smokers may indicate increased susceptibility to caries (13, 14). • Higher number of lactobacilli and Streptococcus mutans in smokers may indicate caries susceptibility (13, 14).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS)</td>
<td>• Biological plausibility of causal role of ETS in caries formation in children (32). • Immunosuppressive properties of ETS might be a risk factor for dental caries development (32, 36, 37). • ETS may decrease serum vitamin C level, which may be associated with growth of cariogenic bacteria in children (32, 38, 39). • ETS may reduce the protective properties of saliva that can operate against caries (32, 40).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokeless tobacco (ST)</td>
<td>• High levels of fermentable sugar and sweeteners in ST can stimulate growth of cariogenic bacteria (55, 56, 59). • Extracts from chewing tobacco with high sugar content increased in vitro growth of Lactobacillus casei (59, 61). • Extracts of ST may serve as a growth substrate for Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus salivarius and Streptococcus sanguis (64).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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WHO CALLS FOR PREVENTION OF CANCER THROUGH HEALTHY WORKPLACES

GENEVA—Every year, at least 200,000 people die from cancer related to their workplace, according to the World Health Organization (WHO). Millions of workers run the risk of developing cancers such as lung cancer and mesothelioma (a malignant cancer of the internal lining of the chest cavity) from inhaling asbestos fibres and from tobacco smoke, or leukemia from exposure to benzene at their workplaces. Yet, the risks for occupational cancer are preventable.

Lung cancer, mesothelioma, and bladder cancer are among the most common types of occupational cancers. Every tenth lung cancer death is closely related to risks in the workplace. Currently about 125 million people around the world are exposed to asbestos at work, and at least 90,000 people die each year from asbestos-related diseases. Dollars more die from leukemia caused by exposure to benzene, an organic solvent widely used by workers, including in the chemical and diamond industries.

The rates of occupational cancer exposure are highest among workers whose workplaces do not meet the requirements for health and safety protection and do not have the necessary engineering measures to prevent the pollution of air with carcinogenic substances. For example, workers who are heavily exposed to second hand tobacco smoke at their workplaces have double the risk of developing lung cancer compared to those working in a smoke-free environment.

Currently, most cancer deaths caused by occupational risk factors occur in the developed world. This is a result of the wide use of different carcinogenic substances such as blue asbestos, 2-naphthylamine and benzene 20-30 years ago. Today, there are much tighter controls on these known carcinogens in the workplace in developed countries. However, work processes involving the use of carcinogens such as chrysotile asbestos and pesticides, and those used in tyre production and dye manufacturing, are moving to countries with less stringent enforcement of occupational health standards. If the current unregulated use of carcinogens in developing countries continues, a significant increase in occupational cancer can be expected in the coming decades.

To protect workers from occupational cancer, WHO urges governments and industry to ensure that workplaces are equipped with adequate measures to meet health and safety standards and that they be free from dangerous pollutants. The most efficient way to prevent occupational cancer is to avoid exposure to carcinogens. Stopping the use of asbestos, introducing benzene-free organic solvents and technologies that convert the carcinogenic chromium into a non-carcinogenic form, banning tobacco use at the workplace, and providing protective clothes for people working in the sun are among some of the simple interventions that can prevent hundreds of thousands of unnecessary deaths and suffering from occupational cancer.

WHO provides policy recommendations to help countries stop the use of carcinogens in the workplace, and provides health ministries with the latest information to frame health arguments and legislation to rid workplaces of carcinogens. Recently, WHO issued an official statement warning countries to stop using asbestos or face a cancer epidemic in the coming years. There are safer alternative materials to replace asbestos, for example using pine fibres in producing cement building materials.

In October this year, WHO with support from the National Cancer Institute of France will be convening a global workshop with participation of public health policy makers, scientists and major international stakeholders to elaborate recommendations for strengthening national and international policies on preventing occupational and environmental cancer.

28 April is the World Day for Safety and Health. Every year on this day, trade unions around the world pay tribute to the millions of workers who die, are injured or fall ill every year because of their work.

For further information on occupational health visit http://www.who.int/occupational_health/en/

All press releases, fact sheets and other WHO media material may be found at www.who.int.

Note for the media WHO/19 27 April 2007